



Screening for viral hepatitis among immigrants in Barcelona: Comparison of two recruitment strategies. A pilot study of the HEPscreen Project

M. Fernández Quevedo<sup>1</sup>, S. Manzanares<sup>1</sup>, C. Jacques<sup>1</sup>, J. Ospina<sup>2</sup>, B.Treviño<sup>2</sup>, S. Garcia<sup>3</sup>, H. Ouaarab<sup>2</sup>, J. Gómez<sup>2</sup>, T. Clusa<sup>3</sup>, I. Veldhuijzen<sup>4</sup>, S. Hahné<sup>5</sup>, J. Caylà<sup>1</sup>,

## Introduction

In Europe, about 14 million people have chronic hepatitis B and nine million people are chronically infected with hepatitis C virus. While hepatitis B is on the decline in some EU countries, it is not in others, with migration being a determining factor.

Many patients are not yet ill and do not seek treatment. Case detection could be improved by screening risk groups. The burden is especially high among migrants from endemic areas

### **Objectives**

To explore two different approaches for viral hepatitis screening among migrants: an outreach or active strategy (AS), and a passive strategy (PS).

Secondary objectives are:

- to determinate the number of individuals who attend ES and which factors are associated with not going to the screening
- to know the number of people infected and the prevalence of hepatitis C/B by region of origin and strategy to analyse factors associated with
- becoming infected.

# **Methods**

AS: organized by community health workers (CHW) trough educational sessions (ES) by two centers (ASPB and UMTSID) and referred to the screening centre.

PS: based by opportunistic screening by GP in UTMSID & PHCC Raval Sud

Cross-sectional, prospective study (October 2012 to July 2014)

A health survey and hepatitis B andC tests were conducted to participants of both strategies

Study Population: Migrants from Latin America (LA) and Central and Eastern Europe (ECE), aged 18 or more

360 people were estimated to be contacted through ES (250 LA/110 FCF)

300 people were expected to be contacted trough the PS.

For comparison Chi-square test was used for categorical variables and t-test for continuous. Risk factors associated for the completion of the screening and of being infected were determined by OR and 95% CI estimated by multivariate logistic regression

### **Results**

Table 1: Chronic HBV infection by strategy and region of origin

<u>AS</u>
45 ES were conducted and 337
people participated (93%). 316
signed informed consent, 218
from LA and 98 from ECE. 210
got to the GP appointment
(66.5%). Finally 171 were
screened (54.1%).

Characteristics of losses: women, ECE, participants divorced or widowed compared with married or in a relationship, a lower percentage of participants with no residence permit neither health card, and from the ASPB, (p<0.05).

Factors related to not going to test were being women, ECE participants, from the ASPB, and participants with secondary educational level (p<0.005)

PS 246 people were contacted (82% of the initial sample).

	AS	P5	p value
	171	234	
Age mean (IQR)	40.5 (32-50)	41.7 (31- 51)	0.134
Sex			0.017
Women	94 (55%)	156 (67%)	
Region of origin			0.004
LA	125 (73%)	198 (85%)	
ECE	46 (27%)	36 (15%)	
Civil Status			0.031
Married or in relationship	95 (56%)	128 (55%)	
Single	48 (28.%)	78 (33%)	
Others	6 (3.51%)	5 (2.14%)	
Educational level			0.031
No studies	2 (1%)	5 (2.%)	
Primary	27 (16%)	56 (24%)	
Secondary	87 (51%)	119 (51%)	
Upper	51 (30%)	42 (18%)	
Residence permit			0.013
Yes	128 (75%)	202 (86.%)	
Health card			0.002
Yes	147 (86%)	224 (96%)	

97 (57%)

39.%)

<0.001

# Table 2: Chronic HBV infection by strategy and region of origin

	Total	Outreach strategy	Opportunistic strategy	p value
Region of origin	6/394 (1.52%)	3/165 (1.82%)	3/229 (1.31%)	0.684
LA	2/312 (0.64%)	1/119 (0.84%)	1/193 (0.52%)	0.729
ECE	4/84 (4.68%)	2/46 (4.35%)	2/36 (5.56%)	0.801

Table 3: HCV infection by strategy and region of origin

	Total	Outreach strategy	Opportunistic strategy	p value
Region of origin		6/166 (3.61%)	4/225 (1.78%)	0.243
LA	1/314 (0.32%)	0/125 (0.00%)	1/194 (0.52%)	0.431
ECE	9/81 (11.11%)	6/46 (13.04%)	3/35 (8.575)	0.526

Related risk factor to Chronic HBV infection is coming from ECE Related risk factor to HCV infection are introvenous drug users and coming from ECE ( p < 0.005)

Table 4 and 5: Chronic HBV and HCV by region of origin, specialist assessment & indication to treat

	HBsAgs+ Region Assessed by s		y specialist	st Treatment indication		
	N	N	N	%	N	%
AS	3	1 LA / 2 ECE	0	0	0	0%
PS	3	1 LA / 2 ECE	2	66,6	0	0%

	Anti-HCV+	IDUs	Region	HCV-PCR test	Assessed by specialist	
	N	N		N	N	%
Pilot site						
AS	6	3/6 (50%)	6 ECE	1	1	16,67%
PS	4	3/4 (75%)	1 LA /3 ECE	1	0	0%

### **Conclusions**

There is a higher percentage of participation through PS

There are no differences in the percentage of HBV+ and HCV+ by

High percentage of losses through

AS has come into contact with populations with high social vulnerability

The study shows low prevalence of hepatitis, both B and C, in population of LA, and medium for hepatitis B and high for hepatitis C in ECE population

Factor related to HBV infection: ECE people Factors related to HCV infection: ECE people and IDU.

Low number of participants with hepatitis have reached the specialist (3/16), due to: change of residence for employment reasons, being waiting to obtain the health card, drug abuse or alcoholism, or loss to follow up

# **Recommendations**

Screening in the ES to improve adherence and prevent losses

It is important to consider also risk factors for hepatitis, besides the country of origin

It is necessary to perform tailored strategies to solve specific problems of migrant populations

The mediation of CHW is useful to improve adherence, solve problems, and prevent the loss of participants







Rotterdam-Riinmond





